

---

 Plan
 

---

- 1 Financial time series in Python
  - 2 Minimum variance portfolios
- 

 ① Financial time series in Python

$t$	$x_t$	$r_t$ (continuous return at time $t$ )
0	$x_0$	
1	$x_1$	$\ln(x_1/x_0) = r_1$
2	$x_2$	$\ln(x_2/x_1) = r_2$
3	$x_3$	$\ln(x_3/x_2) = r_3$
⋮		⋮
$n-1$	$x_{n-1}$	$\ln(x_{n-1}/x_{n-2}) = r_{n-1}$
$n$	$x_n$	$\ln(x_n/x_{n-1}) = r_n$

$$r_t = \ln(x_t/x_{t-1}) : e^{r_t} = x_t/x_{t-1} \Rightarrow \underline{x_t = x_{t-1} \cdot e^{r_t}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{r} &= \frac{1}{n} (r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_n) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot [\ln(x_1/x_0) + \ln(x_2/x_1) + \dots + \ln(x_n/x_{n-1})] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \ln(x_n/x_0) = \underline{\ln(x_n/x_0)^{1/n}} \quad \leftarrow \text{CAGR (compounded aggregate growth rate)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \text{continuous time} \right. \end{aligned}$$

② Minimum variance portfolios:

Problem A:  $\min f(\underline{w}) = \underline{w}^T \underline{\Sigma} \underline{w}$  when  $\underline{e}^T \cdot \underline{w} = 1$   
 "  $\text{Var}(r)$  "  $w_1 + \dots + w_n = 1$

$$L = \underline{w}^T \underline{\Sigma} \underline{w} - \lambda (\underline{e}^T \underline{w} - 1) \quad \underline{\Sigma}, \underline{e}$$

Foc:  $L'(\underline{w}) = \begin{cases} 2\underline{\Sigma} \cdot \underline{w} - \lambda (\underline{e}) = 0 \\ \underline{e}^T \cdot \underline{w} = 1 \end{cases}$

Foc  $\underline{\Sigma} \cdot \underline{w} = \frac{\lambda}{2} \underline{e}$   
 $\underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{\Sigma} \cdot \underline{w} = \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \cdot \frac{\lambda}{2} \underline{e}$   
 $\underline{w} = \frac{\lambda}{2} \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{e}$

$\underline{\Sigma}$  pos. defn.  
 $\Rightarrow |\underline{\Sigma}| > 0$

c  $\underline{e}^T \underline{w} = 1$   
 $\underline{e}^T \cdot \left( \frac{\lambda}{2} \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{e} \right) = 1$   
 $\frac{\lambda}{2} \left( \underline{e}^T \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{e} \right) = 1$

$\underline{\Sigma}$  pos. defn. ( $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n > 0$ )  
 $\Rightarrow \underline{\Sigma}^{-1}$  pos. defn. ( $1/\lambda_1, 1/\lambda_2, \dots, 1/\lambda_n > 0$ )  
 $\Rightarrow \underline{e}^T \cdot \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{e} > 0$  positive

$$\lambda = \frac{2}{\underline{e}^T \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{e}} > 0$$

$$\underline{w} = \frac{1}{\underline{e}^T \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{e}} \cdot \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{e}$$

SOC:

$$h = \underline{w}^T \underline{\Sigma} \underline{w} - \frac{2}{\underline{e}^T \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{e}} (\underline{e}^T \underline{w} - 1)$$

$$h(\underline{w}) = 2\underline{\Sigma} \quad \text{pos. defn.}$$

$\underline{h}$   
 $\underline{h}$  convex  
 $\underline{h}$  SOC

minimum

Conclusion:

$$\underline{w}_M = \frac{1}{\underline{e}^T \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{e}} \cdot \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{e}$$

Problem B:  $\min f(\underline{w}) = \underline{w}^T \underline{\Sigma} \underline{w}$      $\text{wh}$      $\begin{cases} \underline{e}^T \underline{w} = 1 \\ \underline{\mu}^T \underline{w} = \alpha \end{cases}$   
 ( $\alpha$  given)

$$L = \underline{w}^T \underline{\Sigma} \underline{w} - \lambda_1 (\underline{e}^T \underline{w} - 1) - \lambda_2 (\underline{\mu}^T \underline{w} - \alpha)$$

Foc:  $L'(\underline{w}) = \begin{cases} 2\underline{\Sigma} \cdot \underline{w} - \lambda_1 \underline{e} - \lambda_2 \underline{\mu} = \underline{0} \\ \underline{e}^T \cdot \underline{w} = 1 \\ \underline{\mu}^T \cdot \underline{w} = \alpha \end{cases}$   
c:

Foc:  $2\underline{\Sigma} \cdot \underline{w} = \lambda_1 \underline{e} + \lambda_2 \underline{\mu} \quad | : 2$

$$\underline{\Sigma} \cdot \underline{w} = \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \underline{e} + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} \underline{\mu}$$

$$\underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{\Sigma} \underline{w} = \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \left( \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \underline{e} + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} \underline{\mu} \right)$$

$$\underline{w}^* = \frac{\lambda_1^*}{2} \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{e} + \frac{\lambda_2^*}{2} \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{\mu}$$

c: (1)  $\underline{e}^T \cdot \left( \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{e} + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{\mu} \right) = 1$

$$\frac{\lambda_1}{2} \underline{e}^T \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{e} + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} \underline{e}^T \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{\mu} = 1$$

$$\boxed{\lambda_1 \cdot (\underline{e}^T \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{e}) + \lambda_2 \cdot (\underline{e}^T \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{\mu}) = 2}$$

(2)  $\underline{\mu}^T \left( \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{e} + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{\mu} \right) = \alpha$

$$\boxed{\lambda_1 (\underline{\mu}^T \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{e}) + \lambda_2 (\underline{\mu}^T \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{\mu}) = 2\alpha}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \underline{e}^T \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{e} & \underline{e}^T \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{\mu} \\ \underline{\mu}^T \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{e} & \underline{\mu}^T \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{\mu} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2\alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

It follows from the assumptions that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \underline{e}^T \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{e} & \underline{e}^T \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{\mu} \\ \underline{\mu}^T \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{e} & \underline{\mu}^T \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{\mu} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{e}^T \\ \underline{\mu}^T \end{pmatrix} \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \underline{e} \\ \underline{\mu} \end{pmatrix}$$

pos. defn.

is invertible, hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^* \\ \lambda_2^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{e}^T \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{e} & \underline{e}^T \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{\mu} \\ \underline{\mu}^T \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{e} & \underline{\mu}^T \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \underline{\mu} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2\alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence there is one candidate pt  $(\underline{w}^*; \lambda_1^*, \lambda_2^*)$ .

SOC: 
$$h = \underline{w}^T \underline{\Sigma} \underline{w} - \lambda_1^* (\underline{e}^T \underline{w} - 1) - \lambda_2^* (\underline{\mu}^T \underline{w} - \alpha)$$

linear in  $\underline{w}$

$$H(h) = 2\underline{\Sigma} \text{ pos. defn.}$$

$\Downarrow$

$h$  convex

$\Downarrow$  soc

$(\underline{w}^*; \lambda_1^*, \lambda_2^*)$  is min. pt.

Recall:  $\underline{\mu}, \underline{\Sigma}$  given s.t. the following assumptions hold:

- i)  $\underline{\Sigma}$  symmetric and pos. semidefn.
- ii)  $\underline{\Sigma}$  pos. defn.
- iii)  $\underline{\mu}, \underline{e}$  linearly independent