SolutionsFinal exam in GRA 6035 MathematicsDateJanuary 10th 2024 at 0900 - 1400

Question 1.

(a) The second order differential equation y'' - 2y' = 0 is homogeneous, and it has characteristic equation $r^2 - 2r = r(r-2) = 0$ with characteristic roots $r_1 = 0$ and $r_2 = 2$. The general solution is

$$y = C_1 \cdot e^{0 \cdot t} + C_2 \cdot e^{2t} = C_1 + C_2 \cdot e^{2t}$$

(b) We form the matrix $A = (\mathbf{v}_1 | \mathbf{v}_2 | \mathbf{v}_3)$, and find the pivot positions:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 5 & 3 \\ 3 & 8 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since there are pivots positions in all three columns, the three vectors are linearly independent. (c) The first order derivatives of f and the first order conditions are given by

$$f'_x = -3x^2 + 3y + 3z = 0, \quad f'_y = 3x - 3y^2 + 3z = 0, \quad f'_z = 3x + 3y - 3z^2 = 0$$

Since $3(-2^2 + 2 + 2) = 0$, we see that (2, 2, 2) is a stationary point of f. The Hessian matrix of f at this point is given by

$$H(f) = \begin{pmatrix} -6x & 3 & 3\\ 3 & -6y & 3\\ 3 & 3 & -6z \end{pmatrix} \quad \Rightarrow \quad H(f)(2,2,2) = \begin{pmatrix} -12 & 3 & 3\\ 3 & -12 & 3\\ 3 & 3 & -12 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $D_1 = -12$, $D_2 = 144 - 9 = 135$, and $D_3 = 3(9 + 36) - 3(-36 - 9) - 12(135) = -1350$, it follows that H(f)(2,2,2) is negative definite. By the second derivative test, it follows that (2,2,2) is a local maximum point of f.

(d) Since A is a symmetric matrix with tr(A) = 4 and det(A) = 1(-4-4)-1(4-2)+1(2+1) = -7, it has three eigenvalues with sum equal to 4 and product equal to -7. The equilibrium states are given by $A\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$, or $A\mathbf{y} = -\mathbf{b}$. Since $|A| \neq 0$, there is a unique stable equilibrium state $\mathbf{y}_e = A^{-1}(-\mathbf{b})$. We know that it is stable if and only if A has three negative eigenvalues. This is not the case since the sum of the eigenvalues is tr(A) = 4. The system of differential equations therefore has no stable equilibrium state.

Question 2.

(a) We compute the determinant of A using cofactor expansion along the last row:

$$|A| = \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 7 & 3 \\ 3 & 11 & 5 \\ 1 & -4 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 1(35 - 33) + 4(10 - 9) = 2 + 4 = 6$$

Since A is a 3×3 matrix with $|A| \neq 0$, we have that rk(A) = 3.

(b) We can write the equation $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$ as $A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x} = A\mathbf{x} - I\mathbf{x} = (A - I)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$. To solve this homogeneous linear system, we use Gaussian elimination to find an echelon form of A - I:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2-1 & 7 & 3\\ 3 & 11-1 & 5\\ 1 & -4 & 0-1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 7 & 3\\ 3 & 10 & 5\\ 1 & -4 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 7 & 3\\ 0 & -11 & -4\\ 0 & -11 & -4 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 7 & 3\\ 0 & -11 & -4\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We see that z is a free variable, and back substitution gives that -11y-4z = 0, or y = -4z/11, and that x + 7y + 3z = x + 7(-4z/11) + 3z = 0, or x = 28z/11 - 33z/11 = -5z/11. The solutions of the linear system can therefore be written

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -5z/11 \\ -4z/11 \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \frac{z}{11} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -5 \\ -4 \\ 11 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{z}{11} \cdot \mathbf{w} \text{ with } \mathbf{w} = \begin{pmatrix} -5 \\ -4 \\ 11 \end{pmatrix}$$

There are infinitely many solutions (one degree of freedom) since the set of solutions can be written as $\text{span}(\mathbf{w})$ with $\mathbf{w} = (-5, -4, 11)$.

(c) The characteristic equation of A is $-\lambda^3 + \operatorname{tr}(A) \cdot \lambda^2 - c_2 \cdot \lambda + \det(A) = -\lambda^3 + 13\lambda^2 - 18\lambda + 6 = 0$, since $\operatorname{tr}(A) = 2 + 11 + 0 = 13$, $c_2 = M_{12} + M_{23} + M_{13} = 1 + 20 - 3 = 18$, and $\det(A) = 6$ from (a). We know that $\lambda = 1$ is a solution since $A\mathbf{x} = 1 \cdot \mathbf{x}$ has non-trivial solutions from (b). Alternatively, we can see this directly by substituting $\lambda = 1$ into the characteristic equation. This gives that

$$-\lambda^3 + 13\lambda^2 - 18\lambda + 6 = (\lambda - 1) \cdot p(\lambda) = (\lambda - 1)(-\lambda^2 + 12\lambda - 6) = 0$$

where we have found the second factor $p(\lambda)$ using polynomial division:

$$(-\lambda^3 + 13\lambda^2 - 18\lambda + 6) : (\lambda - 1) = -\lambda^2 + 12\lambda - 6$$

The equation $-\lambda^2 + 12\lambda - 6 = 0$ can be written as $\lambda^2 - 12\lambda + 6 = 0$, and we solve it using the quadratic formula:

$$\lambda = \frac{12 \pm \sqrt{12^2 - 4(6)}}{2} = 6 \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{120} = 6 \pm \sqrt{30}$$

Since A has three distinct eigenvalues $\lambda = 1$ and $\lambda = 6 \pm \sqrt{30}$, it follows that A is diagonalizable.

Question 3.

(a) The difference equation $y_{t+2} + y_{t+1} - 6y_t = 3 - 4t$ is second order linear and can be solved using the superposition principle. To find the homogeneous solution y_t^h , we consider the characteristic equation $r^2 + r - 6 = 0$. Using the quadratic formula, we find that it has roots r = 2 and r = -3, and $y_t^h = C_1 \cdot 2^t + C_2 \cdot (-3)^t$. To find a particular solution, we consider $y_t = At + B$, which gives $y_{t+1} = A(t+1) + B = At + A + B$ and $y_{t+2} = A(t+2) + B = At + 2A + B$. When we substitute this into the difference equation, we get

$$(At + 2A + B) + (At + A + B) - 6(At + B) = 3 - 4t \implies (-4A)t + (3A - 4B) = -4t + 3$$

Comparing coefficients, we find that A = 1 and 3 - 4B = 3, or B = 0. This gives $y_t^p = t$, and the general solution is

$$y_t = C_1 \cdot 2^t + C_2 \cdot (-3)^t + t$$

(b) The differential equation t + y' = y can be written y' - y = -t, and it is therefore linear. It can be solved using the superposition principle since a(t) = -1 is a constant: Since the characteristic equation r - 1 = 0 has root r = 1, the homogeneous solution is $y_h = C \cdot e^t$. To find a particular solution, we consider y = At + B, which gives y' = A. When we substitute this into the differential equation, we get

$$A - (At + B) = -t \implies (-A)t + (A - B) = -t$$

Comparing coefficients, we find that A = 1 and 1 - B = 0, or B = 1. This gives $y_p = t + 1$, and the general solution is

 $y = Ce^t + t + 1$

Alternatively, we could have used integrating factor to solve the differential equation.

(c) The characteristic equation of A is $det(A - \lambda I) = 0$, which gives

$$\begin{vmatrix} 2-\lambda & 0\\ 1 & -1-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = (2-\lambda)(-1-\lambda) = 0$$

and the two eigenvalues of A are therefore $\lambda_1 = 2$ and $\lambda_2 = -1$. Since each eigenvalue has multiplicity one, there is a base \mathbf{v}_i for E_{λ_i} which we can find using Gaussian elimination:

$$E_2: \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0\\ 1 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad E_{-1}: \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We may choose the base vectors $\mathbf{v}_1 = (3, 1)$ and $\mathbf{v}_2 = (0, 1)$. We find the equilibrium state by solving $A\mathbf{y}_t + \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{y}_t$, since the equilibrium states are the constant solutions, with $\mathbf{y}_t = \mathbf{y}_{t+1}$. This gives $A\mathbf{y}_e - \mathbf{y}_e = -\mathbf{b}$, or $(A - I)\mathbf{y}_e = -\mathbf{b}$. We solve this linear system using Gaussian elimination:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2-1 & 0 & | & 2 \\ 1 & -1-1 & | & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & | & 2 \\ 1 & -2 & | & -1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & | & 2 \\ 0 & -2 & | & -3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Back substitution gives -2y = -3, or y = 3/2, and x = 2. The equilibrium state is therefore (2, 3/2), and the general solution of the system of linear differential equations is

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \begin{pmatrix} 2\\ 3/2 \end{pmatrix} + C_1 \begin{pmatrix} 3\\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot 2^t + C_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot (-1)^t$$

(d) The differential equation $(t - 3y) + (8y - 3t) \cdot y' = 0$ is exact if there is a function h = h(t, y) such that

$$h'_t = t - 3y, \quad h'_y = 8y - 3t$$

We see that $h = t^2/2 - 3yt + C(y)$ is the general solution of the first condition, and when we substitute this into the second condition, we find that $h'_y = -3t + C'(y)$, and therefore $h'_y = 8y - 3t$ when C'(y) = 8y. We choose the simplest solution is $C(y) = 4y^2$, which gives $h(t, y) = t^2/2 - 3yt + 4y^2$. Since the differential equation is exact of the form $h'_t + h'_y \cdot y' = 0$, the general solution is given by

$$h(t,y) = \frac{1}{2}t^2 - 3yt + 4y^2 = C \quad \Rightarrow \quad t^2 - 6yt + 8y^2 = 2C = K$$

The initial condition y(1) = 0 gives $(1)^2 - 6(0)(1) + 8(0)^2 = K$, or K = 1. Hence the particular solution in implicit form is given by

$$t^{2} - 6yt + 8y^{2} = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 8y^{2} - 6t \cdot y + (t^{2} - 1) = 0$$

We solve the last equation using the quadratic formula, which gives that

$$y = \frac{6t \pm \sqrt{36t^2 - 4(8)(t^2 - 1)}}{2 \cdot 8} = \frac{6t \pm \sqrt{4t^2 + 32}}{16} = \frac{3t \pm \sqrt{t^2 + 8}}{8}$$

We see that the two solutions give y(1) = 6/8 or y(1) = 0, and therefore the particular solution that satisfies y(1) = 0 is given by

$$y = \frac{1}{8} \left(3t - \sqrt{t^2 + 8} \right)$$

Question 4.

(a) We write $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}$ and $g(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T D \mathbf{x}$, where A and D are the symmetric matrices of the objective function f and the function $g(x, y, z, w) = x^2 + 2y^2 + 2z^2 + 6w^2$ that defines the constraint, with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$$

The Lagrangian function of the problem is $\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} - \lambda (\mathbf{x}^T D \mathbf{x} - 48)$, and the first order conditions are given by

$$\mathcal{L}'(\mathbf{x}) = 2A\mathbf{x} - \lambda(2D\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0} \quad \Rightarrow \quad A\mathbf{x} - \lambda D\mathbf{x} = (A - \lambda D)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$$

We find the solutions of the FOC's with $\lambda = 1$ by solving the linear system $(A - D)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ using Gaussian elimination:

$$A - D = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & -6 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -5 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -5 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -6 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We see that w is free, -z+3w = 0, or z = 3w, y-z+w = 0, or y = 2w, and -x+z+w = 0, or x = 4w. The FOC's therefore give that $(x, y, z, w; \lambda) = (4w, 2w, 3w, w; 1)$, and the constraint

 $x^2 + 2y^2 + 2z^2 + 6w^2 = 48$ gives $16w^2 + 8w^2 + 18w^2 + 6w^2 = 48$, or $48w^2 = 48$. It follows that $w^2 = 1$, or $w = \pm 1$, and we get two candidate points in the Lagrange problem with $\lambda = 1$:

$$(x, y, z, w; \lambda) = (4, 2, 3, 1; 1), (-4, -2, -3, -1; 1)$$

(b) The function f is quadratic and can be written $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}$, where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Its leading principal minors are $D_1 = D_2 = D_3 = D_4 = 0$. Using principal minors, we find that A is indefinite since one of the principal 2-minors is negative:

$$\Delta_2 = \Delta_2^{23,23} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = -1$$

(c) We test the candidate points in (a) using the Second Order Condition (SOC): We consider $h(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}; 1) = \mathbf{x}^T (A - D)\mathbf{x} + 48\lambda$. Its Hessian is H(h) = 2(A - D), where A - D is the coefficient matrix of the linear system in (a). We notice that |A - D| = 0 since we found a free variable in (a). Moreover, the symmetric matrix

$$A - D = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 & 1\\ 0 & -2 & 1 & 1\\ 1 & 1 & -2 & 0\\ 1 & 1 & 0 & -6 \end{pmatrix}$$

had leading principal minors $D_1 = -1$, $D_2 = 2$, $D_3 = -1(4-1) + 1(0+2) = -1$, and $D_4 = |A - D| = 0$. Hence A - D is negative semidefinite by the Reduced Rank Criterion (RRC), and h is therefore a concave function. It follows from the SOC that the maximal value is

$$f_{\max} = f(4, 2, 3, 1) = f(-4, -2, -3, -1) = 2(12 + 4 + 6 + 2) = 48$$

and that $\mathbf{x} = (4, 2, 3, 1) (-4, -2, -3, -1)$ are maximum points in the Lagrange problem. (d) We consider the Lagrange problem with parameter a (where the case a = 2 is solved above):

$$\max f(x, y, z, w) = 2xz + 2xw + 2yz + 2yw \text{ when } x^2 + 2y^2 + az^2 + 6w^2 = 48$$

From (c) we know that $f^*(2) = 48$, since the maximal value is $f_{\text{max}} = 48$ when a = 2. We compute the marginal change in the maximal value $f^*(a)$ using the Envelope Theorem: The Lagrangian of the problem with parameter a is $\mathcal{L} = f(x, y, z, w) - \lambda(x^2 + 2y^2 + az^2 + 6w^2 - 48)$, and it follows that $\mathcal{L}'_a = -\lambda z^2$. Hence the marginal change at a = 2 is given by

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f^*(a)}{\mathrm{d}a} = \mathcal{L}'_a(\mathbf{x}^*(a); \lambda^*(a)) = -\lambda^*(2) \cdot z^*(2)^2 = (-1) \cdot (\pm 3)^2 = -9$$

This gives the following estimate of maximal value when a = 1:

$$f^*(1) \approx f^*(2) + \Delta a \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}f^*(a)}{\mathrm{d}a} = 48 + (-1) \cdot (-9) = 57$$

(e) We consider the FOC for any value of λ , given by $(A - \lambda D)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$. Since $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ does not fit into the constraint, we must have $|A - \lambda D| = 0$. By dividing the last rows with suitable constants, we get

$$|A - \lambda D| = \begin{vmatrix} -\lambda & 0 & 1 & 1\\ 0 & -2\lambda & 1 & 1\\ 1 & 1 & -2\lambda & 0\\ 1 & 1 & 0 & -6\lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0 \iff \begin{vmatrix} -\lambda & 0 & 1 & 1\\ 0 & -\lambda & 1/2 & 1/2\\ 1/2 & 1/2 & -\lambda & 0\\ 1/6 & 1/6 & 0 & -\lambda \end{vmatrix} = \frac{0}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 6} = 0$$

where the last equation is the characteristic equation of a new matrix B with tr(B) = 0 and rk(B) = 2. Hence $\lambda = 0$ is an eigenvalue of B of multiplicity 4 - 2 = 2, and $\lambda = 1$ is an eigenvalue of B by (a). The last eigenvalue λ_4 is given by $1 + 0 + 0 + \lambda_4 = 0$, or $\lambda_4 = -1$. The

rest is very similar to (a) and (c) with $\lambda = -1$ instead of $\lambda = 1$: Going back to the first order conditions $(A + D)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ for $\lambda = -1$, we get

$$A + D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence w is free, and back substitution gives z = 3w, y = -2w, and x = -4w. When we substitute these values into the constraint, we get $48w^2 = 48$, which gives $w^2 = 1$, or $w = \pm 1$. The candidate points with $\lambda = -1$ are therefore given by

$$(x, y, z, w; \lambda) = (-4, -2, 3, 1; -1), (4, 2, -3, -1; -1)$$

and f(-4, -2, 3, 1) = f(4, 2, -3, -1) = 2(-12 - 4 - 6 - 2) = -48. We use the SOC to check that these are minimum points: We have that $h(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}; -1)$ has Hessian H(h) = 2(A + D). Moreover,

$$A + D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$$

has leading principal minors $D_1 = 1$, $D_2 = 2$, $D_3 = 3 - 2 = 1$, and $D_4|A + D| = 0$ since the linear system $(A + D)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ has a free variable. This means that A + D is positive semidefinite by the RRC, hence h is convex. By the SOC, it follows that the minimum value in the Lagrange problem is

$$f_{\min} = f(-4, -2, 3, 1) = f(4, 2, -3, -1) = -48$$

Alternatively, we can argue that there is a minimum since the set of admissible points is compact, but it would be difficult to find the minimum value without finding the candidate points with $\lambda = -1$.